Question 1: Datalog Semantics

Consider the following knowledge base.

\[ a \leftarrow b \land c. \]
\[ b \leftarrow c \land d. \]
\[ c. \]
\[ d. \]

Write down all of the different interpretations. Indicate which ones are models of the knowledge base (i.e., make each clause in the knowledge base true).

Is \( a \) a logical consequence of the knowledge base? Why or why not?

Question 2

Given the knowledge base

\[ a \leftarrow b \land g. \]
\[ b \leftarrow c \land d. \]
\[ b \leftarrow e. \]
\[ c. \]
\[ d \leftarrow f. \]
\[ f. \]

where \( \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\} \) is the set of all atoms.

1. How many interpretations are there.
2. Give a model of the knowledge base.
3. Give an interpretation that is not a model of the knowledge base.
4. List all atoms that are logical consequences of the knowledge base.
5. List all atoms that are not logical consequences of the knowledge base.

Question 3

Exercise 2.2 from the textbook, parts a and b

Question 4

Question 2.3 from the textbook.

Question 5

This is similar to the programming question from Homework 0. Here, however, you will work with hierarchical structures as needed for function symbols (Section 2.8 of the textbook). Your algorithm will find all of the variables in a structure; it will not have to distinguish between predicate symbols, function symbols and
constants, which can each start with a lower case letter. In order words, just find the tokens that start with an upper case letter. Hence, you do not need to distinguish whether something is the first item in a list, or not.

We can have a structure like $p(a, b(X), d(e, X, b(c), Y))$.

We can represent this in python's lists as:

```python
class = ['p', 'a', ['b', 'X'], ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
```

To process the hierarchical structure, you will process it one token at a time by pulling the first item of the list. If the item is not a list (use the 'type' command), then it is a token, and you can test if it is a variable or not. If it is a list, concatenate this item with the rest of the list (using '+' to concatenate two lists). This way you can use a simple while loop (rather than a recursive call to parse).

Here is some code to get you started.

```python
def parse(atom):
    seen = set()
    while atom != []:
        print("Currently processing %s" % atom)
        first = atom[0]
        rest = atom[1:]

        # YOUR CODE HERE

        # now print out all of the variables
        for var in seen:
            print("Variable %s" % var)

atom = ['p', 'a', ['b', 'X'], ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
parse(atom)
```

Here is what your output should look like.

```
Currently processing ['p', 'a', ['b', 'X'], ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
Currently processing ['a', ['b', 'X'], ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
Currently processing [['b', 'X'], ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
Currently processing ['X', ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
Currently processing [['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']]
Currently processing ['d', 'e', 'X', ['b', 'c'], 'Y']
Currently processing ['c', 'Y']
Currently processing ['Y']
```

```
Variable Y
Variable X
```

Hand in your code. Hand in the output of your code on two other examples that are even more complex than the one given above.

Please make sure that the code you hand properly shows indentation.
Question 6: Probabilistic Propositional Top-Down Prover

In this question, you will write a procedure `prove` to do top down proofs on knowledge bases that just have 0-ary predicates (propositional logic).

You should store the knowledge base as a list in the global variable `kb`. Each clause can be represented as `[Head Conjunct1 Conjunct2 ...]`. Below is the encoding of the knowledge base for Exercise 2.3.

```python
kb = [["a", "b", "c"],
      ["b", "d"],
      ["b", "e"],
      ["c"],
      ["d", "h"],
      ["e"],
      ["f", "g", "b"],
      ["g", "c", "k"],
      ["j", "a", "b"]]
```

Create a procedure called `prove` that takes as its argument the query it is supposed to prove. The query should be a list of conjuncts. The procedure `prove` should have a main loop. At each iteration, it will have its current answer cause. Pick the first conjunct in the body. Find all rules in your knowledge base that have a head that matches the first conjunct, and then randomly pick one of the them. Remove the first conjunct from the answer clause and replace it by the body of the rule that you chose. Keep going until either no rule applies, or you have proved the query. If no rule applies, print out the current answer clause and return `False`.

To randomly pick an index of an element of a list `l`, you can do the following.

```python
i = int(len(matches)*random.random())
```

Also, at the beginning of your code, you will need to import the random library:

```python
import random
```

Create a second procedure called `multiprove` that calls `prove` up to a maximum of 100 times. Once `prove` has succeeded, stop, and return `True`. Otherwise keep going. If you reach the maximum, return `False`.

Run your code to prove `a` and prove `f`. Hand in your code and output from the two runs. Comment on how effective using a randomly choosing a rule as a means of resolving the non-determinism.

Be careful with what is passed in. Is it a list, which is mutable? If so, do they mutate it?